

EXHIBIT B

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

45 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10111

T 212.589.4200
F 212.589.4201
www.bakerlaw.com

September 7, 2010

Brian K. Esser
direct dial: 212.589.4236
besser@bakerlaw.com

SENT VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Richard E. Signorelli, Esq.
799 Broadway, Suite 539
New York, NY 10003

Re: Securities Investor Protection Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789-BRL: Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination

Dear Mr. Signorelli:

Enclosed please find a Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination for Richard Glantz and a copy of the Court's January 12, 2009 Order in the matter of *Securities Investor Protection Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC*, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789-BRL.

This subpoena requires Mr. Glantz to appear for a deposition at the offices of Baker & Hostetler in New York on September 30, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. As per your discussion with Andy Reich, thank you for making Mr. Glantz available in New York and for agreeing to accept service of this subpoena. Enclosed is a check for the \$40 witness fee.

In the event that you have any questions regarding this subpoena please direct them to Andy Reich, Baker & Hostetler LLP, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York 10111, telephone: (212) 589-4200, e-mail: areich@bakerlaw.com.

Sincerely,



Brian K. Esser

Enclosures

Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Costa Mesa
Denver Houston Los Angeles New York Orlando Washington, DC

B254 (Form 254 - Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination) (12/07)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN District of NEW YORK

Securities Investor Protection Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUBPOENA FOR RULE 2004 EXAMINATION

Case No.* Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 BRL

Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Defendant.

To:

Richard Glantz
c/o Richard E. Signorelli, Esq.
Attorney at Law
799 Broadway, Suite 539
New York, NY 10003

☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear and testify at an examination under Rule 2004, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, at the place, date, and time specified below. A copy of the court order authorizing the examination is attached.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY

Baker & Hostetler LLP
45 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10111

DATE AND TIME

September 30, 2010 at 10:00am

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):

PLACE

DATE AND TIME

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE

 Attorney

DATE

September 7, 2010

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS, AND PHONE NUMBER

Brian K. Esser, Esq. Baker & Hostetler LLP, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10111 (212) 589-4200

* If the bankruptcy case is pending in a district other than the district in which the subpoena is issued, state the district under the case number.

B254 (Form 254 - Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination) (12/07)

PROOF OF SERVICE

SERVED	DATE	PLACE
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE	
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE	

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on _____
DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2007, made applicable in cases under the Bankruptcy Code by Rule 9016, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure:

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) Contempt.

The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789-BRL

SIPA Liquidation

**ORDER GRANTING AUTHORITY TO ISSUE SUBPOENAS FOR THE
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES**

This matter came before the Court on the motion (the "Motion")¹ of Irving H. Picard, Esq. ("Trustee"), as Trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("Debtor"), for an order authorizing the Trustee to compel the production of documents and the testimony of witnesses through the issuance of Rule 2004 Subpoenas without further order of the Court and establishing procedures in connection with such subpoenas, as more fully described in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein; and it appearing that the relief requested by the Motion is necessary and in the best interests of the estate, its customers, its creditors, and all parties in interest; and due notice of the Motion having been given, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be given; and the Court having determined that the Trustee articulated good cause for the relief requested in the Motion; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon the proceedings before the Court and after due deliberation,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted.
2. The Trustee hereby is authorized to issue and serve Rule 2004 Subpoenas for examinations pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 without further order of the Court.
3. The Trustee shall serve each Rule 2004 Subpoena and a copy of the order entered pursuant to this Motion on (i) the target of the Rule 2004 Subpoena, (ii) SIPC, (iii) the SEC, (iv) the Internal Revenue Service, and (v) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York.
4. The Trustee shall cooperate fully with the U.S. Department of Justice, and any other federal agency designated by them (collectively, the "Government"), in any matter that the Government is currently or in the future may be investigating regarding the Debtor, its management or its financial condition. The Trustee shall use best efforts to coordinate with the Government in order to avoid unnecessary interference with any investigations conducted by the Government. The Trustee will follow a reasonable protocol to be established jointly with the Government for the sharing of information and such sharing shall be subject to appropriate conditions to protect the Debtor's estate, including but not limited to, the preservation of the attorney-client privilege and protections of the work product doctrine. If the Trustee and the Government disagree as to the appropriateness of a proposed action to be taken pursuant to this Order, the Trustee reserves the right to seek a determination from the Court.
5. The Trustee shall file with the Court an affidavit or declaration of service for each Rule 2004 Subpoena he serves.

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Motion.

6. Recipients of a Rule 2004 Subpoena and any party in interest shall have ten (10) days after any Rule 2004 Subpoena is served to object to and/or seek to quash such Rule 2004 Subpoena.

7. Recipients of a Rule 2004 Subpoena are directed to produce, on a rolling basis, all responsive documents within ten (10) days of the service of the subpoena (unless otherwise agreed by the Trustee), subject to any documents withheld under a claim of privilege.

8. If a witness withholds any documents based upon a claim of privilege, the witness shall provide counsel for the Trustee with a privilege log containing the information required under Bankruptcy Rule 7026(a)(5), within ten (10) days of the service of a subpoena upon that witness (unless otherwise agreed by the Trustee).

9. Recipients of a Rule 2004 Subpoena are directed to submit to oral examination upon reasonable notice and, absent other agreement with the Trustee, in no event more than fifteen (15) days from the service of a deposition subpoena upon the recipient of said subpoena.

10. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters relating to the interpretation or implementation of this Order.

Dated: New York, New York
January 12, 2009

/s/ BURTON R. LIFLAND
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

VENDOR NAME: RICHARD GLANTZ
VENDOR NUMBER: 10007691

CHECK DATE: 09/07/2010
CHECK NO: 193058

Baker & Hostetler LLP

3200 PNC CENTER, 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3482

If you have questions regarding this check, please contact our Accounts Payable department at (216) 861-6749 or bhfwap@bakerlaw.com.

1231297

Requested By: 08009

INVOICE #	DATE	MATTER #	INVOICE DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
7691-090710	09-07-2010	095879.000001	Requestor - M. Charlotten	40.00
TOTAL				\$ 40.00

DETACH BEFORE DEPOSITING

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A TRUE WATERMARK. HOLD TO LIGHT TO VIEW

Baker & Hostetler LLP

PNC Center
1900 East 9th Street, Suite 3200
Cleveland, OH 44114-3482

KeyBank National Association
Price, Utah

CHECK NUMBER: 193058

DATE 09-07-2010

AMOUNT
\$ 40.00

PAY: FORTY AND 00/100 Dollar(s)

TO THE ORDER OF: RICHARD GLANTZ
C/O RICHARD E. SIGNORELLI, ESQ.
799 BROADWAY, STE 539
NEW YORK, NY 10003

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

⑈00193058⑈ ⑆24303007⑆ 440993505559⑈